



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,026	06/20/2003	Don A. Gabriel	5470.234CT3	7141
20792	7590 03/15/2004		EXAM	INER
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			KUMAR, SHAILENDRA	
PO BOX 37428 RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/601,026	GABRIEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAIL INO DATE of this communication and	SHAILENDRA - KUMAR	1621
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABANE	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		1
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 18-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 18-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rec	eived.
Attachment(s)	🗂	(DTO 448)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/03. 	Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 18-20 are pending in this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 7/2103 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 18-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-21 of US Patent No. 6,498,273 or over claims 1-21 of U. S. Patent No. 6,265,610 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: compounds of claim 18, contrast media of claim 19,

and visualizing method of claim 20, extensively overlap those of claims 1-21 of above two patents, especially when, in the above two patents, R1-R10 definitions are hydrogen or alkyl, R11 is amino or amidino, R9 and R10 together forms C4-C8 alkylene ring, Z is hydroxy alkylamino.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SHAILENDRA - KUMAR whose telephone number is (571)272-0640. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur 8:00-5:30, Alt Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571)272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SHAILENDRA - KUMAR

Application/Control Number: 10/601,026

Art Unit: 1621

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1621

S.Kumar 3/11/04 Page 4